



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/919,808	08/02/2001	Hiroyuki Inaba	Q65683	4296

7590 07/02/2003

SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN,
MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20037

EXAMINER

BELL, PAUL A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2675

DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003

3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/919,808

Applicant(s)

INABA ET AL.

Examiner

PAUL A BELL

Art Unit

2675

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 August 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

Art Unit: 2675

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With regard to claim 6 the phrase "the display signal from the first display control section represents almost the same as the display signal from the second display control section. It is not clear how one determines the meets and bounds as to what "almost the same" which is over vague.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Strait et al. (3,964,018).

With regard to claim 1 Strait et al. teaches a display control device (figure 1) comprising: an input signal processing section for processing an input signal (figure 1, item 12); a display section for displaying an image (figure 1, item 34); a first display control section for processing

Art Unit: 2675

an output signal from the input signal processing section to be displayed on the display section, a second display control section operated by an operation program for OS, the second display control section for processing an output signal from the first display control section to be displayed on the display section (figure 1, items 25, 28, 40, 44, and 16); and a signal switching section for outputting the display signal from the second display control section on to the display section at the normal time, the signal switching section for outputting the display signal from the first display control section onto the display section when an abnormal condition of the second display control section is detected (figure 1, item 42, abstract, column 1, lines 40-45).

With regard to claim 2 Strait et al. teaches the display control device according to claim 1, further comprising an abnormality detection section for detecting the abnormal condition of the second display control section; wherein the signal switching section outputs the display signal from the first display control section onto the display section when the abnormality detection section detects the abnormal condition of the second display control section (column 1, lines 40-69 and column 2, lines 1-20).

With regard to claims 3 and 4 Strait et al. teaches the display control device according to claim 2, wherein the first and second display control section comprises the abnormality detection section (figure 1, items 25, 28, 40, 44, and 16).

With regard to claim 5 Strait et al. teaches the display control device according to claim 1, wherein the operation program in the second display control section is rewritable (column 6, lines 4-48).

Art Unit: 2675

With regard to claim 6 Strait et al. teaches the display control device according to claim 1, wherein, the display signal from the first display control section represents almost the same as the display signal from the second display control section (inherent feature because the Strait et al. can select and look at any sensor reading at any time but when a sensor reading becomes too high it is displayed and since the abnormal value may be “almost the same” as the normal value only a bit higher it reads on this very broad language)

With regard to claim 7 Strait et al. teaches the display control device according to claim 6, wherein the display signal outputted from the first display control section is lower in display resolution than the display signal outputted from the second display control section (figure 1, item 40 and item 34).

With regard to claim 8 Strait et al. teaches the display control device according to claim 6, wherein the display signal outputted from the first display control section is fewer in data amount than the display signal outputted from the second display control section; and each segment of an image expressed by the display signal outputted from the first display control section is bigger than that of an image expressed by the display signal outputted from the second display control section (figure 1, item 40 and item 34).

With regard to claim 9 Strait et al. teaches the display control device according to claim 5, wherein the operation program is read from an outer unit, and stored in the second display control section (column 6, lines 4-48 it is inherent that a “outer unit” as broadly claimed provide

Art Unit: 2675

the inputs that when true enable programing, or writing into, a programmable read only memory (PROM) because that is the only way it could be programmed).

With regard to claim 10 Strait et al. teaches the display control device according to claim 9, wherein the outer unit is a memory card (It is inherent that a outer unit used for programming the PROM has a memory).


With regard to claim 11 Strait et al. teaches the display control device according to claim 9, wherein the outer unit is a server from which the operation program is read through a network (It is inherent that a outer unit is a "server" because it services the PROM by a network which is the electrical connection).


Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Bell whose telephone number is (703) 306-3019. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Saras, can be reached at (703) 305-9720.

Any response to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231
or faxed to: (703) 872-9314

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist). Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center/2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.


Paul Bell
Art unit 2675
18 June 2003


STEVEN SARAS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600